International Journal of Gerontology 18 (2024) 133
https://doi.org/10.6890/IJGE.202407_18(3).EC1

International Journal of Gerontology

journal homepage: http://www.sgecm.org.tw/ijge/

Editorial Comment

Biomarker Analysis in Cardiac Rehabilitation for Coronary Artery Disease

In this issue of the International Journal of Gerontology, Tsai et al. conducted an interesting analysis and addresses a crucial aspect of cardiology by exploring the effects of cardiac rehabilitation (CR) on various plasma biomarkers in patients with coronary artery disease (CAD).[1] Despite a small size of patient population, this study provided evidence supporting the role of CR in improving cardiac health through the modulation of biomarkers associated with cardiac function, inflammation, and stress.

The primary outcome of this study demonstrated significant changes in plasma biomarkers following a six-month CR program. Notably, there was a marked reduction in C-reactive protein (CRP) and N-terminal-pro B-type natriuretic peptide (NTproBNP), both of which are well-established indicators of inflammation and cardiac stress, respectively. Conversely, increases were observed in mid-regional atrial natriuretic peptide (MRproANP), MR-pro adrenomedullin (MRproADM), and neopterin levels. These findings suggested a complex interplay of physiological responses to sustained exercise therapy, indicating both immediate and long-term benefits of CR.

CRP is a well-known biomarker for inflammation, and its reduction post-CR underscores the anti-inflammatory effects of sustained exercise. Elevated CRP levels have been correlated with higher cardiovascular event rates, making its reduction a significant finding in the context of CAD management.[2] Similarly, NTproBNP, a marker of cardiac wall stress and heart failure, decreased significantly after CR, indicating reduced cardiac strain. These reductions align with previous studies, such as the FITR[3] Heart Study, which highlighted the benefits of high-intensity interval training and moderate-intensity continuous training on cardiovascular health.

The observed increases in MRproANP, MRproADM, and neopterin levels post-CR are intriguing and warrant further discussion. MRproANP is linked to atrial wall stress and heart failure with preserved ejection fraction (HFpEF). While its increase might initially seem paradoxical, the study hypothesizes that elevated MRproANP may reflect improved atrial function rather than increased stress, particularly in the context of reduced NTproBNP levels. MRproADM, associated with vasodilation and cardiac protection, also showed significant increases. Previous studies have suggested that higher MRproADM levels may have protective effects against adverse cardiovascular events, potentially through mechanisms involving enhanced angiogenesis and endothelial function.[4] The increase in neopterin, a marker of immune activation and inflammation, is consistent with its role in vascular remodeling and atheroprotection. Elevated neopterin levels might indicate an adaptive response to exercise, promoting vascular health and reducing oxidative stress.

From a clinical perspective, the modulation of these biomarkers provides valuable insights into the efficacy of CR as a therapeutic strategy for CAD. The reduction in CRP and NTproBNP highlights CR's potential in reducing inflammation and cardiac stress, thus lowering the risk of adverse cardiovascular events. The increases in MRproANP, MRproADM, and neopterin suggest beneficial adaptations in cardiovascular and immune function, further supporting the role of CR in comprehensive cardiac care.

However, the study also acknowledged several limitations, including its single-center design and small sample size. While these factors may limit the generalizability of the findings, they also underscore the need for larger, multicentric studies to validate and expand upon these results. Additionally, the study's lack of a control group and echocardiographic data post-CR limited the ability to correlate biomarker changes directly with structural and functional cardiac improvements.

The findings of this study pave the way for future research aimed at elucidating the mechanisms underlying biomarker changes induced by CR. Understanding the regulatory pathways of MRproANP and NTproBNP, in particular, could reveal novel insights into the cardiovascular adaptations to sustained exercise. Moreover, investigating the role of MRproADM and neopterin in mediating cardiovascular protection and angiogenesis could lead to the development of targeted therapies enhancing the benefits of CR. Given the gender disparity in the study population, future research should also aim to include a more balanced representation of male and female participants to better understand the gender-specific effects of CR on biomarker responses. Additionally, integrating advanced imaging techniques and functional assessments could provide a more comprehensive evaluation of the impact of CR on cardiac health.

In conclusion, the study provides interesting evidence that a six-month CR program significantly impacts plasma biomarkers associated with inflammation, cardiac stress, and vascular health in patients with CAD. The reductions in CRP and NTproBNP levels affirm the anti-inflammatory and cardioprotective effects of CR, while the increases in MRproANP, MRproADM, and neopterin suggest beneficial adaptations that merit further investigation. Despite its limitations, this study highlights the potential of CR as a nonpharmacological intervention to improve cardiac function and reduce cardiovascular risk, offering valuable insights for both clinical practice and future research. Cardiac rehabilitation should thus be considered an integral component of CAD management, with ongoing research needed to fully understand its multifaceted benefits and optimize its implementation for diverse patient populations.

References

1. Tsai WH, Wu SH, Kuo JY, Chiu WC, Yeh HI, Chen CY. Cardiac rehabilitation and change of plasma cardiac biomarkers in patients with coronary artery disease: A prospective single-center study. *Int J Gerontol.* 2024; 18(3):150–154. doi:10.6890/IJGE.202407_18(3).0003
2. Kim YJ, Shin YO, Bae JS, et al. Beneficial effects of cardiac rehabilitation and exercise after percutaneous coronary intervention on hsCRP and inflammatory cytokines in CAD patients. *Pflugers Arch*. 2008;455(6):1081–1088. doi:10.1007/s00424-007-0356-6
3. Taylor JL, Holland DJ, Keating SE, et al. Short-term and long-term feasibility, safety, and efficacy of high-intensity interval training in cardiac rehabilitation: The FITR Heart Study Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA Cardiol*. 2020;5(12):1382–1389. doi:10.1001/jamacardio.2020.3511
4. Nakamura R, Kato J, Kitamura K, et al. Adrenomedullin administration immediately after myocardial infarction ameliorates progression of heart failure in rats. *Circulation*. 2004;110(4):426–431. doi:10.1161/01.CIR.0000136085.34185.83

Chao-Feng Lin
Department of Medicine, MacKay Medical College, New Taipei City, Taiwan
Department of Cardiology, MacKay Memorial Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan